

Table 2B. NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION YEAR TO DATE APRIL 2006 AND 2004

| JURISDICTION | YEAR TO DATE APRIL 2006 | | | YEAR TO DATE APRIL 2004 | | | TOTAL HOUSING UNITS | | | | SINGLE-FAMILY UNITS | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------|------|---------------------|--------|--------|---------|-------------|------|---------------|--------|
| | TOTAL | SINGLE FAMILY | PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY | TOTAL | SINGLE FAMILY | PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY | CHANGE | | COUNTY RANK | | STATE PERCENT | | CHANGE | | COUNTY RANK | | STATE PERCENT | |
| | | | | | | | NET | PERCENT | 2006 | 2004 | 2006 | 2004 | NET | PERCENT | 2006 | 2004 | 2006 | 2004 |
| STATE OF MARYLAND(2) | 8,937 | 7370 | 82.5% | 8,815 | 7046 | 79.9% | 122 | 1.4% | | | 114.3% | 104.3% | 324 | 4.6% | | | 117.8% | 103.8% |
| STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs(3) | 7,821 | 6254 | 80.0% | 8,451 | 6790 | 80.3% | -630 | -7.5% | | | 100.0% | 100.0% | -536 | -7.9% | | | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| OLD SUBURBAN COUNTIES | 3,870 | 2785 | 72.0% | 3,327 | 2515 | 75.6% | 543 | 16.3% | | | 49.5% | 39.4% | 270 | 10.7% | | | 44.5% | 37.0% |
| NEW SUBURBAN COUNTIES | 2,812 | 2567 | 91.3% | 3,529 | 2984 | 84.6% | -717 | -20.3% | | | 36.0% | 41.8% | -417 | -14.0% | | | 41.0% | 43.9% |
| BALTIMORE CITY | 236 | 156 | 66.1% | 147 | 38 | 25.9% | 89 | 60.5% | | | 3.0% | 1.7% | 118 | 310.5% | | | 2.5% | 0.6% |
| BALANCE OF STATE(4) | 903 | 746 | 82.6% | 1,448 | 1253 | 86.5% | -545 | -37.6% | | | 11.5% | 17.1% | -507 | -40.5% | | | 11.9% | 18.5% |
| METROPOLITAN JURISDICTIONS(5) | 7,106 | 5667 | 79.7% | 7,101 | 5836 | 82.2% | 5 | 0.1% | | | 90.9% | 84.0% | -169 | -2.9% | | | 90.6% | 85.9% |
| NON METROPOLITAN JURISDICTIONS(6) | 715 | 587 | 82.1% | 1,350 | 954 | 70.7% | -635 | -47.0% | | | 9.1% | 16.0% | -367 | -38.5% | | | 9.4% | 14.1% |
| BALTIMORE REGION | 2,844 | 2458 | 86.4% | 2,692 | 2277 | 84.6% | 152 | 5.6% | | | 36.4% | 31.9% | 181 | 7.9% | | | 39.3% | 33.5% |
| ANNE ARUNDEL | 604 | 513 | 84.9% | 698 | 642 | 92.0% | -94 | -13.5% | 4 | 3 | 7.7% | 8.3% | -129 | -20.1% | 3 | 3 | 8.2% | 9.5% |
| BALTIMORE COUNTY | 783 | 688 | 87.9% | 550 | 438 | 79.6% | 233 | 42.4% | 3 | 5 | 10.0% | 6.5% | 250 | 57.1% | 2 | 6 | 11.0% | 6.5% |
| CARROLL | 295 | 293 | 99.3% | 260 | 260 | 100.0% | 35 | 13.5% | 10 | 13 | 3.8% | 3.1% | 33 | 12.7% | 9 | 11 | 4.7% | 3.8% |
| HARFORD | 373 | 355 | 95.2% | 509 | 474 | 93.1% | -136 | -26.7% | 8 | 8 | 4.8% | 6.0% | -119 | -25.1% | 6 | 5 | 5.7% | 7.0% |
| HOWARD | 553 | 453 | 81.9% | 528 | 425 | 80.5% | 25 | 4.7% | 5 | 6 | 7.1% | 6.2% | 28 | 6.6% | 4 | 7 | 7.2% | 6.3% |
| BALTIMORE CITY | 236 | 156 | 66.1% | 147 | 38 | 25.9% | 89 | 60.5% | 12 | 17 | 3.0% | 1.7% | 118 | 310.5% | 15 | 20 | 2.5% | 0.6% |
| SUBURBAN WASHINGTON | 2,977 | 2012 | 67.6% | 2,812 | 2127 | 75.6% | 165 | 5.9% | | | 38.1% | 33.3% | -115 | -5.4% | | | 32.2% | 31.3% |
| FREDERICK* | 494 | 428 | 86.6% | 733 | 692 | 94.4% | -239 | -32.6% | 6 | 2 | 6.3% | 8.7% | -264 | -38.2% | 5 | 2 | 6.8% | 10.2% |
| MONTGOMERY | 1,242 | 343 | 27.6% | 1,473 | 829 | 56.3% | -231 | -15.7% | 1 | 1 | 15.9% | 17.4% | -486 | -58.6% | 8 | 1 | 5.5% | 12.2% |
| PRINCE GEORGE'S | 1,241 | 1241 | 100.0% | 606 | 606 | 100.0% | 635 | 104.8% | 2 | 4 | 15.9% | 7.2% | 635 | 104.8% | 1 | 4 | 19.8% | 8.9% |
| SOUTHERN MARYLAND | 754 | 707 | 93.8% | 1,030 | 778 | 75.5% | -276 | -26.8% | | | 9.6% | 12.2% | -71 | -9.1% | | | 11.3% | 11.5% |
| CALVERT | 104 | 104 | 100.0% | 219 | 219 | 100.0% | -115 | -52.5% | 17 | 14 | 1.3% | 2.6% | -115 | -52.5% | 17 | 13 | 1.7% | 3.2% |
| CHARLES | 379 | 350 | 92.3% | 288 | 280 | 97.2% | 91 | 31.6% | 7 | 12 | 4.8% | 3.4% | 70 | 25.0% | 7 | 9 | 5.6% | 4.1% |
| ST. MARY'S | 271 | 253 | 93.4% | 523 | 279 | 53.3% | -252 | -48.2% | 11 | 7 | 3.5% | 6.2% | -26 | -9.3% | 10 | 10 | 4.0% | 4.1% |
| WESTERN MARYLAND | | | | 479 | 447 | 93.3% | -479 | -100.0% | | | | 5.7% | | | | | | 6.6% |
| ALLEGANY (pt) | | | | 24 | 24 | 100.0% | -24 | -100.0% | | | | 0.3% | | | | | | 0.4% |
| Frostburg | 10 | 10 | 100.0% | 7 | 7 | | | | | | 0.1% | | | | | | 0.2% | |
| Lonaconing town | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GARRETT | 81 | 81 | 100.0% | 71 | 71 | 100.0% | 10 | 14.1% | 18 | 20 | 1.0% | 0.8% | 10 | 14.1% | 18 | 19 | 1.3% | 1.0% |
| WASHINGTON | 222 | 210 | 94.6% | 377 | 345 | 91.5% | -155 | -41.1% | 14 | 9 | 2.8% | 4.5% | -135 | -39.1% | 12 | 8 | 3.4% | 5.1% |
| UPPER EASTERN SHORE | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0% | | | | | | 0.0% |
| CAROLINE (pt) | | | | 39 | 39 | 100.0% | | | | | | 0.5% | | | | | | 0.6% |
| Marydel town | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Preston town | 6 | 6 | 100.0% | 2 | 2 | 100.0% | 4 | 200.0% | | | 0.1% | 0.0% | 4 | 200.0% | | | 0.1% | 0.0% |
| CECIL | 151 | 139 | 92.1% | 338 | 224 | 66.3% | -187 | -55.3% | 16 | 11 | 1.9% | 4.0% | -85 | -37.9% | 16 | 12 | 2.2% | 3.3% |
| KENT (pt) | | | | 57 | 57 | 100.0% | | | | | | 0.7% | | | | | | 0.8% |
| Rock Hall town | 6 | 6 | 100.0% | 13 | 13 | | | | | | 0.1% | | | | | | 0.1% | |
| QUEEN ANNE'S | 192 | 192 | 100.0% | 131 | 131 | 100.0% | 61 | 46.6% | 15 | 18 | 2.5% | 1.6% | 61 | 46.6% | 13 | 17 | 3.1% | 1.9% |
| TALBOT | | | | 198 | 198 | 100.0% | | | | 15 | | 2.3% | | | | 15 | | 2.9% |
| LOWER EASTERN SHORE | | | | 660 | 497 | 75.3% | | | | | | 7.8% | | | | | | 7.3% |
| DORCHESTER | | | | 99 | 87 | 87.9% | | | | 19 | | 1.2% | | | | 18 | | 1.3% |
| SOMERSET | | | | 34 | 27 | 79.4% | | | | 21 | | 0.4% | | | | 21 | | 0.4% |
| WICOMICO | 227 | 192 | 84.6% | 179 | 175 | 97.8% | 48 | 26.8% | 13 | 16 | 2.9% | 2.1% | 17 | 9.7% | 13 | 16 | 3.1% | 2.6% |
| WORCESTER | 351 | 241 | 68.7% | 348 | 208 | 59.8% | 3 | 0.9% | 9 | 10 | 4.5% | 4.1% | 33 | 15.9% | 11 | 14 | 3.9% | 3.1% |

PREPARED BY MD DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. DATA AND PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT.

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

(1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.

(2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey

(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires

(4) State sum of monthly permit issuing place reports minus sum of Old Suburban jurisdictions, New Suburban jurisdictions and Baltimore City

(5) Includes Baltimore Planning Region, Suburban Washington Planning Region, Allegany, Calvert, Charles, Cecil, Queen Anne's, Somerset, Washington, and Wicomico Counties

(6) Includes all jurisdictions not identified as metropolitan- the minuend is the sum of monthly reporting permit issuing places